Foxway

Giving new life to used laptops:
Estimating the positive impact of
Foxway using the handprint approach

Handprint Report: Laptops

2023

Content

Key terms and definitions

Carbon footprint: The total amount of greenhouse gas emissions (expressed in carbon dioxide equivalents) that are generated by an individual, event, organization, service, or product from within a specified boundary.

Carbon handprint: An indicator of the climate change mitigation potential. Describes the GHG emission reduction in a user's activities that occurs when the user replaces a baseline solution with the offered solution.

Baseline: A reference case that best represents the conditions most likely to occur in the absence of an offered solution. A product, a service or a product chain which delivers the same function(s) to the user as the offered solution and is used for the same purpose(s) by the users(s) within a specific time period and region. The offered solution is compared to the baseline with respect to its footprint.

CO_2e: A metric measure used to compare the emissions from various greenhouse gases based upon their global warming potential.

EOL: End-of-life. In the context of product life-cycles, EOL is the final stage of a product's existence.

GHG: A gas that contributes to the natural greenhouse effect. The Kyoto Protocol covers a basket of six greenhouse gases (GHGs) produced by human activities: carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride.

ICT: Information and communication technologies.

ISO: International Organization for Standardization is the world's largest developer of voluntary international standards and it facilitates world trade by providing common standards among nations.

LCA: Life-cycle assessment. A methodology to quantify and assess the inputs, outputs and potential environmental impacts of a product system throughout its life-cycle (ISO 14040; ISO 14067:2018).

WEEE: Waste electrical and electronic equipment, i.e., e-waste.

Background

Increasing amounts of e-waste coupled with low collection and recycling rates...

- E-waste is one of the fastest-growing waste streams. In 2019, approximately **53.6 million tons (Mt) of e-waste** was generated globally (which brings to an average of 7.3 kg per capita), fuelled by increasing consumption rates of electrical and electronic equipment, dropping price of ICT products, their short life cycles, and relatively few repair options. E-waste generation is expected to increase to 74.7 Mt in 2030 and reach as much as 110 Mt in 2050 (Baldé et al., 2022).
- At the same time, **only 17% of that waste was formally collected and recycled** – the fate of the rest is uncertain, but majority is probably mixed with other waste streams, like plastic and metal, and even if it is (partly) recycled, it is often done under inferior conditions.
- Europe has the highest collection and recycling rate compared to other continents (42.5% in 2019), but nevertheless, recycling activities are not keeping pace with the global growth of e-waste (Baldé et al., 2022).

...have led to negative environmental impacts, loss of valuable finite resources, and growing pressure on the planet

- It is argued, that energy efficiency improvements in new products justify replacing old products due to lower energy demand. However, new device generations are generally more energy consuming than the previous ones as the market is moving towards products with higher processing capacity (EEB, 2019).
- Extending the service life of old devices by repairing them, on the other hand, saves energy and finite resources that would otherwise be consumed in the manufacturing of new products, which carries considerable negative impacts on the environment.

Foxway's recommerce business helps to alleviate this issue by extending the total service life of ICT products

Foxway's asset recovery services give laptops a longer life – we buy used or damaged laptops; screen, sort, and test them; perform a regulation-compliant full data wipe; and repair as much as possible. Redeemed devices are then sold through a network of resellers, thus being gifted a second lifetime.

This potentially brings significant environmental benefits. Indeed, the extension of the service life of ICT products has been identified as the key strategy to minimize the total environmental impact of ICT products (Prakash et al., 2012, Bakker et al., 2014). According to a 2019 report by the European Environmental Bureau, a 1-year lifetime extension of all notebooks in the EU would save 1.6 Mt CO_2 per year by 2030, the equivalent of taking 870 000 cars off the roads.

Fortunately, there's a market demand for sustainable products and services and at least European consumers are becoming more open to buying used electronics. International Data Corporation forecasts worldwide market for refurbished electronics to grow with a compound annual growth rate of 10% from 2023 to 2030 (Coherent Market Insights, 2023).

Foxway's ambition

- Foxway's ambition is to be the top company in Europe for sustainable IT services and recycling, leading the way with circular solutions that go beyond the industry's traditional linear consumption models.
- Extending ICT products' service life undoubtedly brings environmental benefits. To build a stronger foundation for our sustainability efforts, calculating our positive impact on the environment is a necessary step.
- In line with the above, the current study was done to quantify the positive impact of refurbished laptops. The carbon footprint calculation (partial LCA) of an average refurbished laptop was commissioned from an external LCA consultancy (Sustainability Services OÜ).

Purpose of this study

Is to estimate the climate impact and advantage (compared to buying a new device) of a typical* Foxway's refurbished laptop.

For this reason, a partial life cycle assessment (LCA) was conducted to quantify the GHG avoidance of refurbishing laptops, following the Carbon Handprint methodology (see next page for more details).

** By typical we mean an average refurbishment scenario for a Foxway laptop.*

Estimating Foxway's positive impact using the Carbon Handprint methodology

- In contrast to carbon footprint, which refers to the negative environmental impact throughout the life cycle of a product, the term handprint refers to the positive environmental impact of a product throughout its life cycle (Pajula et al., 2021).
- The purpose of carbon handprint assessment is to calculate the beneficial greenhouse gas impacts of a product compared to an alternative solution (i.e., the baseline solution).
- This fits well with Foxway's goal of being an ESG enabler since our asset recovery services help our clients improve their sustainability performance by avoiding unnecessary carbon emissions. We can communicate the climate benefits of using refurbished IT devices following the handprint methodology.



Figure 1. A handprint can be created by offering solutions with a lower footprint in comparison to the solutions used in the baseline.

Source: Pajula et al., 2021. Carbon Handprint Guide v2.

Handprint calculation itself is a simple equation that is based on carbon footprint calculations following **ISO 14040-44** and **ISO 14067** standards, which specify principles, requirements and guidelines for life cycle assessments (see next page for more details).

$$\text{Handprint}_{\text{Product}} = \text{Footprint}_{\text{Baseline}} - \text{Footprint}_{\text{Offered solution}}$$

Where:

Footprint Baseline = production of a new laptop and its transport to customer

Footprint Offered Solution = refurbishing an old laptop and its transport to customer (aka the "Foxway's solution")

Foxway's Handprint is based on a partial life-cycle assessment

- Life-cycle assessment is a quantitative analysis of the environmental aspects of a product over its entire life cycle, from raw material extraction (cradle) to end-of-life (grave). Accordingly, a full life-cycle assessment is called cradle-to-grave.
- For estimating Foxway's positive handprint, **a partial life-cycle assessment was conducted** to determine the climate impact of refurbished laptop. Specifically, processes **from raw material extraction until (and including) distribution to customers were considered**, leaving out the climate impact of the use phase and end-of-life stage.
- This is in line with the ISO standards* for life-cycle assessment, which allow excluding phases that are considered to be equivalent when comparing the life-cycle impact of two (or more) alternative solutions.
- In other words, since the use phase and disposal/recycling for baseline solution and Foxway solution can be assumed to have equal climate impact, including them in the calculation would not influence the final outcome of the handprint calculation.



Figure 2. Product's life-cycle. Phases included in the calculations of the current study are depicted in red.

** ISO 14044 and ISO 14067. The former specifies requirements and provides guidelines for life cycle assessment, whereas ISO 14067 specifies principles, requirements and guidelines for the quantification and reporting of the carbon footprint of a product in a manner consistent with ISO 14044.*

Methodology

Identification of the operating environment

- The buyers of a refurbished laptops are environmentally aware consumers or companies that value sustainability and are concerned about the environmental impact of their purchasing decisions.
- The functional unit for this study is: one laptop with expected use phase of 4 years.
- A cradle-to-gate (incl. emissions until the point of sale) LCA was done for this study – life cycle stages starting from manufacturing and ending with transport to the customer. Considering the assumed functional equivalence of laptops in both scenarios, the use phase, transport to EOL, and EOL processing are considered identical in both solutions and thus can be left out of the equation as they do not affect the comparison.
- Data to calculate carbon footprint of the offered (Foxway) solution was gathered from Foxway (such as electricity and heat use, laptops' and repair parts' import and export information, handled devices and parts' amounts, the use of consumables). The data was collected for the period from Sept 1st, 2022, to Aug 31st, 2023. In addition, some information was obtained from different web-based databases (e.g. transport distances). Impact assessment data was obtained from the Ecoinvent v3.9.1 database (model 'Cut-off', impact assessment method IPCC 2021 GWP100), various electronics companies' products' environmental reports and declarations and from relevant scientific literature.
- Baseline is an alternative solution to buying a refurbished laptop. Defining the baseline is necessary to compare the offered solution to business as usual, i.e., "common" practice. Current baseline description: customer buys a brand-new laptop. Carbon emissions reduction in this study comes from the decrease in energy and resource demand which would have been needed to manufacture and transport a new laptop.
- Secondary data for the baseline solution was gathered from various product environmental reports and declarations published online.

Carbon handprint is always quantified for a specific situation and a specific type of user.

The selection of the functional unit and system boundary must be consistent with the goal of the study and equal in baseline and offered solutions.

System boundaries of the life-cycle assessment



Figure 3. Life-cycle system boundary

Description of the processes that contribute to the footprint calculations

Refurbishment scenario of the Foxway's solution

Laptop import

Foxway imports used laptops via road transport (40%), air transport (34%) and sea transport (26%). The starting point is generalized to the country capital (e.g if the device is imported from Sweden, the distance is measured between Stockholm and Foxway in Tartu, Estonia).

Product repair

There are two scenarios for refurbishment:

- repairs with parts (65%)
- repairs without parts (35%).

Average carbon emissions emanating from the transport of brand new spare parts was calculated by analyzing different transport types (land, air, water), distance (km) and their usage in refurbishment.

To calculate the transport emissions of reused parts, device import transport data was used, as reused parts come for the devices that are not repairable. The values were quantified with the average reused part weight.

The use of main consumables (glue, primer, paints, polish and cleaning agents) was also included in assessment.

Energy demand

Foxway's buildings heat and electricity demand was also accounted for. Energy demand was calculated per device to estimate the energy need of the refurbishment process.

Device export

Once the laptops are refurbished, they are exported via air transport (47%), road transport (45%) and sea transport (8%). Again, the destination is generalized to the country 's capital.

Baseline Scenario

Carbon footprint of the baseline scenario is based on 51 laptop models released in 2020. This includes laptops from Apple, Dell, Lenovo and Fujitsu. Data was obtained from respective laptop environmental reports.

For the baseline carbon footprint value, only the life cycle stages until the transport to the client were included.

Important presumptions behind the calculation

1. The rescued laptop is considered waste, because:

- Nearly all laptops that Foxway handles are at least 4 years old, and since about 4-5 years is considered an average expected lifetime of a laptop (based on several scientific articles and reports*), the assumption has been made to regard the used laptops as saved from disposal.
- Product lifetime is influenced by several factors: consumer behavior, socio-economic aspects and technology change. As people mostly keep their old devices at home (Eurostat, 2023), the performance of such ICT equipment will degrade, and they will be disposed in the future.
- During the refurbishment, the laptop's performance is enhanced to extend its lifespan. Without the refurbishment, the laptop would most probably be disposed after first use, as consumers generally assume products, especially electronics, will only last for short periods (Wieser et al., 2015). This supports the presumption that if Foxway would not collect the used laptops, they would most likely reach EOL.

2. The refurbished laptop is assumed to be functionally approximately equivalent to a new device and is assumed to be used for another 4 years.

- Refurbished laptop is assumed to be functionally equivalent to a new device. Products are functionally equivalent or approximately equivalent if they share a set of obligatory properties including the main function (Andre et al., 2018).
- It is assumed that both laptops can be used for the same purpose and for the same time period, as their technical specifications are presumed to cover a similar performance.

3. The use and EOL phases of the baseline and handprint solutions are considered to be equivalent, because:

- Considering the assumed functional equivalence of laptops, the use phases and disposal are identical in both alternatives and do not affect the comparison, therefore eliminating the need to be assessed during this study. To highlight the differences between the alternatives, the results are presented without the contribution of the use and EOL phase.
- Real-life climate impacts of the use phase, transport to EOL and disposal are consumer specific and depend on several factors (for instance, the source of electricity used when the device is charged), and these can be considered the same across both the baseline and handprint scenarios.

** Bakker & Schuit, 2017; EC, 2017; EEB, 2019; Wieser et al, 2015; EP, 2016; Apple laptops' Product Declarations*



Results

Baseline solution's climate impact: Production of a new laptop and transport to consumer causes...

- Carbon footprint (kg CO_2e) of the baseline solution is based on 51 laptop models released in 2020. This included laptops from companies such as Apple, Dell, Lenovo and Fujitsu. Data was obtained from respective laptop environmental reports.
- **An average carbon footprint of a new laptop (released in 2020) was 312 kg CO_2e**. The main source of greenhouse gases is the laptop production, as around 80% of the impact derives from this stage. The use phase constitutes on an average 15%, transport to user 5% and EoL 0,3%.
- Since use phase and EOL are not included in the system boundaries, **baseline carbon footprint equals: 251 kg CO_2e + 14 kg CO_2e = 265 kg CO_2e**



** Use and EOL are out of the system boundaries of this study*

...265 kg CO_2e emissions

Offered solution's climate impact: Refurbishment & transport of a Foxway laptop to the customer causes...

- Laptop's transport to Foxway (import) emits on average roughly **1.41 kg CO_2e**, energy input to refurbishment processes (electricity and heat use in the factory) **0.44 kg CO_2e**, spare parts production and transport to **Foxway 6.72 kg CO_2e**, use of consumables and transport between Foxway facilities in Tartu, Estonia **0.26 kg CO_2e** (referred as "Other" in Fig. 5), and refurbished laptop's transport to customer (export) emits **2.27 kg CO_2e**.
- All these add up to a total climate impact of **11.10 kg CO_2e**.
- The biggest impact is caused by the production and transport of parts which are used to refurbish the laptops. Considering that the used laptop is handled as waste, it carries no production legacy. Most of the part-related impact is caused by the production of brand-new spare parts. Second biggest contribution comes from the transport of refurbished laptops to the client (export), following by the sourcing (saved devices) transport emissions.



Figure 5. Carbon footprint of offered (aka Foxway's) solution

...11.10 kg CO_2e emissions

The Carbon Handprint of one refurbished laptop



In other words, by buying a refurbished laptop from Foxway instead of purchasing a brand new laptop, a customer will avoid ca 254 kg CO_2e worth of emissions.

References

André, H., Ljunggren Söderman, M., Nordelöf, A. (2018) Resource and environmental impacts of using second hand laptop computers: A case study of commercial reuse. International Journal of Integrated Waste Management, Science and Technology, Vol. 88, 2019, pp. 268 - 279.

Baldé, C.P., D'Angelo, E., Luda, V., Deubzer, O., Kuehr, R. (2022) Global Transboundary E-waste Flows Monitor 2022. United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR), Bonn, Germany.
https://ewastemonitor.info/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Global-TBM_webversion_june_2_pages.pdf

Bakker, C., Wang, F., Huisman, J., den Hollander, M. (2014) Products that go around; Exploring product life extension through design. Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol. 69, 2014, pp. 10 - 16.

Bakker, C. & Schuit, C. (2017) The Long View: Exploring Product Lifetime Extension. United Nations Environment Programme
https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/22394;jsessionid=5CA0C8A3A7685D7460A986045C28703C

Coherent Market Insights (2023). Refurbished electronics market analysis.
https://www.coherentmarketinsights.com/market-insight/refurbished-electronics-market-6166

European Commission (EC). (2017) Preparatory study on the Review of Regulation 617/2013 (Lot 3) Computers and Computer Servers. Task 2 report Markets.
https://computerregulationreview.eu/sites/computerregulationreview.eu/files/Preparatory%20study%20on%20review%20computer%20regulation%20-%20Task%202%20VM%2002022017.pdf European Environmental Bureau (EEB). (2019) Coolproducts don't cost the Earth full report. https://eeb.org/library/coolproducts-report/

European Parliament (EP). (2016) Directorate General for Internal Policies. A longer lifetime for products: benefits for consumers and companies. International Journal of COPD, June 2016.
https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2016/579000/IPOL_STU(2016)579000_EN.pdf

Eurostat. (2023) What do people do with their old ICT equipment?
https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/w/ddn-20231124-1

Pajula, T., Vatanen, S., Behm, K., Grönman, K., Lakanen, L., Kasurinen, H., Soukka, R. (2021) Carbon Handprint Guide v2.0. VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd and LUT University.
https://www.vttresearch.com/sites/default/files/pdf/publications/2021/Carbon_handprint_guide_2021.pdf

Prakash, S., Liu, R., Schischke, K., Stobbe, L. (2012) Timely replacement of a notebook under consideration of environmental aspects life cycle analysis using the data basis of the EuP preparatory study, ProBas, and Ecoinvent. OekoInstitute in in cooperation with Fraunhofer IZM, Commissioned by: German Federal Environment Agency.

Wieser, H., Tröger, N. and Hübner, R. (2015) Die Nutzungsdauer und Obsoleszenz von Gebrauchsgütern im Zeitalter der Beschleunigung. Kammer für Arbeiter und Angestellte für Wien, Vienna, Austria.

Foxway

We enable
circular tech